



# Horndean Parish Council

## NOTICE OF MEETING

**A MEETING OF THE PLANNING AND PUBLIC SERVICES COMMITTEE WILL BE HELD AT JUBILEE HALL ON MONDAY 22<sup>ND</sup> MAY, 2023 AT 7.00PM**

Members are summoned to attend

Members are summoned to attend: Cllrs P. Beck (Chairman), Mrs E. Tickell, D Prosser, P Little, Teresa Attlee.

Carla Baverstock-Jones, GCILEx, FSLCC, MCMI  
Chief Officer

16<sup>th</sup> May 2023

### AGENDA

1. To receive apologies for absence.
2. Declaration of interest: Members are reminded of their responsibility to declare any disclosable pecuniary interest which they may have in any item of business on the agenda no later than when that item is reached. Unless dispensation has been granted, you may not participate in any discussion of, or vote on, or discharge any function related to any matter in which you have a pecuniary interest as defined by regulations made by the Secretary of State under the Localism Act 2011. You must withdraw from the room or chamber when the meeting discusses and votes on the matter.
3. To elect a Vice Chairman to the Planning Committee.
4. To receive and approve the minutes of the Planning Committee meeting held on 24<sup>th</sup> April 2023.
5. To open the meeting to members of the public to enable them to address questions to Parish Councillors. *Public questions will be permitted for each application as it arises, subject to there being a limit of 3 minutes for any member of the public. A question asked by a member of public during public participation session at a meeting shall not require a response or debate.*
6. To discuss and note any planning appeals.
7. To consider planning applications and note decisions as per attached schedule. *Public questions will be permitted for each application as it arises, subject to there being a limit of 3 minutes for any member of the public.*
8. To discuss representation of Horndean Parish Council at forthcoming EHDC's Planning Committee meetings on a date to be confirmed.
9. To note the date of the next meeting as Monday 19<sup>th</sup> June 2023.



<sup>P2</sup>  
**HORNDEAN PARISH COUNCIL**  
**PLANNING AND PUBLIC SERVICES COMMITTEE**

Item 4

**THE MINUTES OF THE PLANNING AND PUBLIC SERVICES COMMITTEE**  
**MEETING HELD AT JUBILEE HALL ON**  
**MONDAY 24<sup>TH</sup> APRIL 2023 AT 7.00PM.**

**PRESENT:** Mrs E Tickell (Acting Chairman), T Attlee, P Little, D Prosser

**IN ATTENDANCE:** Carla Baverstock- Jones, Chief Officer  
Sarah Guy – Office Manager (Minute Taker)

**PUBLIC:** There were no members of the public present.

**P 001 23/24** **TO RECEIVE APOLOGIES FOR ABSENCE**

Apologies were received from Cllrs T. Attlee, P Beck and Mrs I. Weeks.

**P 002 23/24** **TO RECEIVE ANY DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST**

There were none.

**P 003 23/24** **TO RECEIVE AND APPROVE THE MINUTES OF THE PLANNING COMMITTEE**  
**HELD ON 27<sup>TH</sup> MARCH 2023**

It was **RESOLVED** that the minutes of the Planning Committee meeting held on 27<sup>th</sup> March 2023 were duly signed as a true record of the meeting.

**P 004 23/24** **TO OPEN THE MEETING TO MEMBERS OF THE PUBLIC**

There were no members of the public present.

**P 005 23/24** **TO DISCUSS AND NOTE ANY PLANNING APPEALS**

There were none.

60016

**29 Claire Gardens, Horndean, Waterlooville, PO8 0JH**

First floor extension over garage

Ward: Downs

The Ward Councillors Report was referred to (please see attached Report Schedule).

**RECOMMENDATION: - NO OBJECTION**SDNP/23/01082/FUL **Land Near Wick Farm, West of South Lane Finchdean Waterlooville, Hampshire**

Change of use of land to form seasonal camping and campervan site with associated parking and landscaping. Erection of multipurpose barn with washroom facilities.

Ward: Kings &amp; Blendworth

**RECOMMENDATION: OBJECTION** for the following reasons: -

- 1.The site is outside the Settlement Policy Boundary and is not allocated for development. It is unsuitable for the proposed development having regard to its location and the development plan.
2. By its very nature, the siting of a camp / motor home campsite in this location would be an urbanising feature that would not conserve or enhance the landscape character of the National Park. It would have an adverse effect on the landscape character and appearance of the area.
- 3.The site is some distance from any services and would result in a heavy reliance on vehicles. They would be using rural lanes unsuitable for an increase in traffic and particularly campervans.
- 4.The light emanating from the site would significantly interfere with the Dark Skies Policy.
- 5.The increase in road and foot traffic would make the surrounding lanes more dangerous than existing.
- 6.The proposed barn is large and out of character with the area. It would have a significant, adverse impact on the landscape.

All contrary to SD1- Sustainable Development, SD3 Major Development

SD4- Landscape Character, SD5- Design

SD7 Relative Tranquillity SD8 Dark Night Skies

SD19 Transport and Accessibility SD25- Development Strategy.

29843/033

**White Dirt Farm Mews, White Dirt Lane, Horndean, Waterlooville**

Retrospective application for the change of use of agricultural land to residential garden and erection of fence at 1 White dirt Mews, White Dirt Lane, Horndean, PO8 0TN.

Ward: Catherington

The Ward Councillors Report was referred to (please see attached Report Schedule).

**RECOMMENDATION: OBJECTION** — it is not clear from the plans what is exactly the boundary between the Bin store area beyond the rear garden of No.1 and the agricultural field. The discrepancy between the agreed plans and the actual position of the fence (being closer to the road) is not explained. Therefore, the area to be considered for change of use is not clear, contrary to the provisions of residential extensions and household development – SPD boundary treatment.

SDNP/23/00833/HOUS Hinton Manor Hinton Manor Lane Horndean Waterlooville Hampshire PO8 0QW

Erection of new summerhouse outbuilding, following demolition of existing summerhouse.

SDNP/23/00834/LIS Hinton Manor Hinton Manor Lane Horndean Waterlooville Hampshire PO8 0QW

Listed building consent - Erection of new summerhouse outbuilding, following demolition of existing summerhouse.

Ward: Catherington

The Ward Councillors Report was referred to (please see attached Report Schedule) and a short discussion took place.

**RECOMMENDATION: OBJECTION** for the following reasons: -

The purpose of the South Downs National Park is to conserve and enhance the natural beauty, wildlife and cultural heritage of the area. The Local Plan is landscape led and that will always take priority.

This style and size of this building seems to contravene all of the following policies: -

SD1 Sustainable Development – This outbuilding's modern design is not in keeping with the site overall

SD4 Landscape Character – The size of the summer house and its proximity to an established pond in a countryside setting may have an ecological impact

SD5 Design – This large glass structure will dominate its setting

SD7 Relative Tranquillity – This is clearly designed to support gatherings and entertainment

SD8 Dark Night Skies – It is unlikely that any lighting design could mask light pollution from such a large glass building from internal or external lighting.

Overall Highway safety: Minor change in very rural setting



60021

P5

**15 Thornfield Close, Horndean, Waterlooville, PO8 0EX**

Single storey front extension

Ward: Downs

The Ward Councillors Report was referred to (please see attached Report Schedule) and a short discussion took place.

**RECOMMENDATION: OBJECTION** for the following reasons:- Although the style of this single storey front extension is sympathetic in appearance to the host dwelling (using the same materials and fenestration) it is not subservient to the original dwelling, as it will project significantly beyond the front main wall of the original building and be visually prominent from the street contrary to the guidance in the Residential Extensions & Householder Development SPD at paragraphs 2.22 to 2.25 and CP29 Design paragraph (d).

24780/009

**8 Downhouse Road, Catherington, Waterlooville, PO8 0TX**

Retention of outbuilding as a hobby room

Ward: Catherington

The Ward Councillors Report was referred to (please see attached Report Schedule).

**RECOMMENDATION: NO OBJECTION**

The decision list was duly noted.

P 007 23/24

**TO DISCUSS REPRESENTATION OF HORNDEAN PARISH COUNCIL AT  
FORTHCOMING EHDC'S PLANNING COMMITTEE MEETING ON  
17<sup>TH</sup> MAY 2023**

It was noted that there was likely to be no applications of interest to HPC.

P 008 23/24

**TO NOTE THE DATE OF THE NEXT MEETING AS MONDAY  
22<sup>ND</sup> MAY 2023**

The date was duly noted.

Meeting ended: 19.27PM

.....  
Chairman

.....  
Date

**REPORT SCHEDULE FOR MEETING MONDAY 22<sup>ND</sup> MAY 2023**

Item 7

1.	60016	<p><b><u>60 St Vincent Crescent, Horndean, Waterloooville, PO8 9JD</u></b></p> <p>Single story rear extension following partial demolition of existing ground floor</p> <p>Ward: Murray</p> <p>Cllr Rep: Cllr Teresa Attlee</p> <p>Report:</p> <p><b>General Observations:</b> This is a semi-detached bungalow where the front doors are positioned on the side of the properties. The proposed alteration is not visible from the street although a rear extension on the adjoining bungalow (to the right) can just be seen.</p> <p><b>Size Layout &amp; density:</b> The rear flat roof extension will match the adjoining neighbour's pitched roof extension in terms of length and width. The proposed materials and finishes will be brick &amp; block to match existing type. The new flat roof will be single ply membrane.</p> <p><b>Street Scene:</b> No detectable change to the street scene as this extension is at the rear of the property. Many of the properties in this Close have been altered in some way. The property attached to this one has both a rear extension and a dormer window in the street-facing side of the property.</p> <p><b>Local Planning Policies:</b> CP29 Design paragraphs d) and e) and Residential Extensions and Householder Development SSD paragraphs 2.11- 2.16</p> <p><b>Overall Highway safety:</b> No change</p> <p><b>Noise and Disturbance from completed development:</b> No change</p> <p><b>Parking:</b> No Change</p> <p><b>Overlooking and loss of privacy:</b> The new extension is not increasing in height beyond the existing height of the existing smaller extension.</p> <p><b>Traffic generation:</b> No change</p> <p><b>Ground contamination:</b> None detected</p> <p><b>Conclusions;</b> The plans are consistent with the guidance in CP29 Design paragraphs d) and e) and Residential Extensions and Householder Development SSD paragraphs 2.11- 2.16.</p> <p><b>RECOMMENDATION: NO OBJECTION</b></p>
2.	24780/010	<p><b><u>8 Downhouse Road, Catherington, Waterloooville, PO8 0TX</u></b></p> <p>Retention of outbuilding for the storage of cars (no.2).</p> <p>Ward: Catherington</p> <p>Cllr Rep: Cllr Derek Prosser</p>

**Report:**

**General Observations:** It would appear that three of the four outbuildings on the site are requiring planning permission following a letter from planning enforcement. This application deals with one of the outbuilding which the owner wants to retain for car storage. It is worth point out that there is also a double garage at the back which has been extended, a garage at the front and a hobby room (24780/009) which is also in use for car storage. These buildings with associated concrete hard-standing make up a large proportion of the land at the rear of the property. The rear part of the property where this building is situated is outside the settlement boundary and therefore CP19 applies.

**Size Layout & density:** The building in question has a gross external floor area of 73sqm. It has a ridge height of 4.7m and is 15m in length. It is not complete. The sloping ground has been levelled for the totality of its length. It is directly next to the boundary fence of No.10 such that when guttering is added it will likely be over the boundary. This large building plus the other buildings and the extension of the older garage create a somewhat congested appearance when viewed from Hinton Manor Lane opposite the property (in the SDNP). The application states that a business selling parts for used Cosworth Cars is run from the site. The land directly to rear of the property is for Equestrian use

**Street Scene:** This outbuilding is not visible from the road as the main building obscures it and the rear is behind large gates. The whole site can be viewed from Hinton Manor Lane in the SDNP and has an industrial appearance.

**Local Planning Policies:** Residential Extension and householder development SPD – The building in question is very large and tall to qualify as an outbuilding in the usual sense and the totality of existing outbuilding space is quite large even before this building was built CP19.– Development in the countryside – This particular building in outside the settlement boundary and the usage for the Cosworth cars business is not sustainable development as envisaged by this policy.

**Overall Highway safety:** The storage of cars at the site seems to run to least 8 with this building maybe more. I observed the arrival/departure of two low-loader vans/lorries in a one hour period reversing out on to the highway.

**Noise and Disturbance from completed development:** A large number of vehicles and the comings and goings of the business are likely a noise for the neighbours and indeed the equestrian land to the rear.

**Parking:** There is plenty of parking space on the land, however given the slope of the land and the development there could an issue with surface water run off

**Overlooking and loss of privacy:**

There is no loss of privacy for the neighbouring properties from this building

**Traffic generation:** There would appear to be significant traffic generation from the Cosworth Car business and the hairdressing business mentioned in 24780/008

**Ground contamination:** It is difficult to know what engineering works were carried to level this building for 15m. Surface water run off may be an issue.

**Conclusions:** Objection –At 73Sqm this building is far too large and too tall to be considered a normal outbuilding. The building is too close to the

		<p>neighbouring property and the addition of this building over-develops a residential site and turns it into a commercial premises. It is not compliant with Residential Extension and Householder development SPD due to it's dimensions. Further, the rear of the garden of No.8 is outside of the settlement boundary so strictly this building is in the countryside and CP19 applies under which this is not sustainable development.</p> <p>With regard to the whole site there seems to be an unauthorised change of use from that of a dwelling house. The commercial usage is out of character with the residential area and will have an adverse impact of the amenity of the neighbouring properties.</p> <p><b>RECOMMENDATION: - OBJECTION</b></p>
3.	36247/001	<p><b><u>8 Kefford Close, Horndean, Waterlooville, PO8 9JR</u></b></p> <p>Pitched roof over existing flat roof dwelling</p> <p>Ward: Murray</p> <p>Cllr Rep: Cllr Teresa Attlee</p> <p>Report:</p> <p><b>General Observations:</b> This Close is made of terraced houses forming three sides of a square. The majority (but not all) have flat roofs. This property is one of five within the terrace. The property to the right of this property (at number 9) when viewed from the street already has a pitched roof.</p> <p><b>Size Layout &amp; density:</b> The new roof will be the same height as the roof on the adjoining terraced property to the right (at number 9) and will match in with that in terms of type and colour of interlocking concrete tiles. The gable end wall be finished with Cedral cladding (a type of wood-looking weatherproof finish).</p> <p><b>Street Scene:</b> There is already one property in this row that has a pitched roof amid flat roofs (so presumably planning permission was granted for that). The proposed pitched roof for this property will therefore create a consistent street scene even though the property to the left will have a flat roof.</p> <p><b>Local Planning Policies:</b> CP29 Design paragraphs d) and e)</p> <p><b>Overall Highway safety:</b> No change</p> <p><b>Noise and Disturbance from completed development:</b> No change</p> <p><b>Parking:</b> No change</p> <p><b>Overlooking and loss of privacy:</b> No change. The plans do not include any roof lights or dormer windows</p> <p><b>Traffic generation:</b> No change</p>



		<p><b>Ground contamination:</b> No change</p> <p><b>Conclusions:</b> This would appear to be an enhancement to the property in keeping with CP29 Design paras d) and e). A few other properties in the Close have installed pitched roofs so this fits in with that trend.</p> <p><b>RECOMMENDATION: - No Objection</b></p>
4.	59501/003	<p><b><u>38 Five Heads Road, Horndean, Waterlooville, PO8 9NU</u></b></p> <p>Lawful development certificate existing - rear dormer &amp; conversion of loft space to habitable accommodation</p> <p>Ward: Murray</p> <p>Cllr Rep: <b>For noting only</b></p>
5.	60021	<p><b><u>15 Thornfield Close, Horndean, Waterlooville, PO8 0EX</u></b></p> <p>Single storey front extension (as amended by plan received 26/04/2023)</p> <p>Ward: Downs</p> <p>Cllr Rep: Cllr Teresa Atlee</p> <p>Report:</p> <p><b>General Observations:</b> This report concerns a review of the re-submitted proposals in response to the Objection raised in respect of the original proposals that were considered by HPC in April 2023.</p> <p>This detached property is on a corner plot in a spacious close with other detached properties, at the top of a small slope leading off Hawthorn Road which forms a cul de sac at the bottom. There is a shared drive with the neighbour to the left (as one faces the property from the street) where the neighbour enters the property through a side door as its main entrance (facing the side door of the applicant's property). Under the proposals there would be a new front entrance, the removal of the existing side door and a new side door repositioned as part of the new glazed porch on the north elevation. There are no issues with the glazed porch.</p> <p><b>Size Layout &amp; density:</b> The fenestration and building materials of the proposed extension are all sympathetic to the original dwelling. Under the original proposals its scale massing and density were an issue contrary to the guidance in CP29 Design paragraph (e) and in the Residential Extensions &amp; Householder Development SPD at paragraphs 2.22 to 2.25.</p> <p>The re-submitted proposals have reduced the depth (the protrusion beyond the front wall of the principal building) of the proposed extension from 5m to around 1.5m making the extension more subservient to the original dwelling in terms of scale and profile. The single storey extension remains the same in its design being a hipped roof single storey extension, spanning the entire frontage of the property.</p> <p><b>Street Scene:</b> This corner plot property is set back a little from the street by a small front garden with an open frontage. The property is also set further back from the neighbouring property to its left by about 2m which creates a</p>

		<p>staggered building line. The dwellings in this part of the Close (that all have open frontages) do not conform to a uniform building line which may help to soften the impact of this extension. The revised proposals will mean this property will no longer be further forward than the neighbouring property. It will still be visually noticeable from the street, but it will be subservient to the principal dwelling under these new proposals. The guidance in the Residential Extensions &amp; Householder Development SPD at paragraphs 2.22 to 2.25 appears to be met.</p> <p><b>Local Planning Policies:</b> Residential Extensions &amp; Householder Development SPD at paragraphs 2.22 to 2.25. CP29 Design</p> <p><b>Overall Highway safety:</b> No Change</p> <p><b>Noise and Disturbance from completed development:</b> No change</p> <p><b>Parking:</b> No change</p> <p><b>Overlooking and loss of privacy:</b> The distance of this property from the neighbouring property on the left is some 7m, so overlooking, excessive overshadowing or loss of privacy is not likely to be an issue.</p> <p><b>Traffic generation:</b> No change</p> <p><b>Ground contamination:</b> None identified</p> <p><b>Conclusions;</b> This single storey front extension is sympathetic in appearance to the host dwelling (using the same materials and fenestration) and under the re-submitted proposals it is now subservient to the original dwelling, projecting some 1.5m beyond the front main wall of the original building (in contrast with the original 5m projection). The proposed glazed porch does not give to any issues.</p> <p><b>RECOMMENDATION: NO OBJECTION</b> for the following reasons: - This single storey front extension is sympathetic in appearance to the host dwelling (using the same materials and fenestration) and under the re-submitted proposals it is now subservient to the original dwelling, projecting some 1.5m beyond the front main wall of the original building (in contrast with the original 5m projection). The proposed glazed porch does not give to any issues.</p>
6.	33046/005	<p><b><u>71 Portsmouth Road, Horndean, Waterlooville, PO8 9LH</u></b></p> <p>Single storey side extension</p> <p>Ward: Kings &amp; Blendworth</p> <p>Cllr Rep: Cllr Teresa Atlee</p> <p>Report: Verbal report to be given</p> <p><b>RECOMMENDATION: -</b></p>

7.	30352/018	<p><b><u>Roseali House, Lith Lane, Horndean, Waterlooville, PO8 0EZ</u></b></p> <p>Trees 2, 3 and 4 - Ash, Fell to ground level, trees of poor form within garden and suspected Ash Dieback Tree 7, Ash, poor form within garden Tree 9, Beech - Reduce crown by 5m in height and 2-3m on sides due to decay forming at base and tree was previously topped Tree 10, Beech - Fell to ground level due to decay at base of trunks and Honey Fungus present with risk of failing across public footpath/garden and potentially down the hillside Tree 11 and 12, Beech, Fell to ground level due to decay and cavity at base and risk of trees failing across public footpath/garden and potentially down the hillside Provide 8 replacement trees with size, species and locations to be agreed</p> <p>Ward: Downs</p> <p>Cllr Rep: Cllr Derek Prosser</p> <p>Report:</p> <p>Tree Condition: The Ash Trees Nos. 2,3,4 and 7 are somewhat odd shapes but as at this week look alive and healthy (from a distance) as that side of the property is not accessible. No.2 is certainly hazardous. The beech trees Nos. 10,11,12 are on the path which is the continuation of Lith Lane. No.10 is certainly dangerous, the photographed areas of the other trees are not visible from the path. They are inside of the boundary of the property but outside of the garden fence of the compound.</p> <p>Conclusions: The recommendation is NO OBJECTION subject to the Tree Office's agreement that the work is necessary, and will be for the long-term benefit of the woodland and the safety of the residents and public. The work should be carried out by properly qualified personnel at the correct time of the year. Details of the replanting both location and species should be agreed before the work is carried out.</p> <p><b>RECOMMENDATION: - NO OBJECTION</b></p>
8.	33983/001	<p><b><u>1 Chalk Hill Road, Horndean, Waterlooville, PO8 9NY</u></b></p> <p>Single storey front, side &amp; rear extension, hip to gable end with dormer extension &amp; extension to existing garage</p> <p>Ward: Murray</p> <p>Cllr Rep: Cllr Derek Prosser</p> <p>Report:</p> <p>General Observations: No.1 Chalk Hill Road is a semi-detached bungalow on the corner of Chalk Hill Road and Highcroft Lane. It has been extended at some point a long time ago and already has a dormer (front and rear) which its adjoining neighbour does not. There is a flat roofed extension to the front (not on the neighbour's side). The plans here are for a "wrap-around" extension front, side and rear around 3m to 4m all round. There is also a redevelopment of the garage which has access onto Highcroft Lane. The garage has a storage area next to it and there is a shipping container in the garden. The front door of No.1 is to the</p>

		<p>side and is access from Highcroft Lane but will be moved to the rear of the property next to the garage.</p> <p><b>Size Layout &amp; density:</b> The current garage area is in need a renovation and the proposal achieves this without impacting the neighbouring properties. Whilst it is difficult to exactly measure the side and rear extensions from the plans, they are approx 3-4 metres in both directions utilising a large amount of the garden. To the front the existing extension is swallowed up in the new development and extended towards the "front" boundary of the neighbouring property. This is a major concern. The dormer is to be extended to double its current width.</p> <p><b>Street Scene:</b> The street scene is effectively mostly Highcroft Lane. This will not be detrimental as the property is already unique. On Chalk Hill Road (which is effectively a track for access), the concern would be the difference from the adjoining neighbour which is largely unchanged at the front from when it was built</p> <p><b>Local Planning Policies:</b> Residential Extension and Householder Development SPD – The front extension does not comply with the guidelines. The overall increase in floor space is considerable estimated 75%.</p> <p><b>Overall Highway safety:</b> Not really impacted by the garage development</p> <p><b>Noise and Disturbance from completed development:</b> No Change</p> <p><b>Parking:</b> There is sufficient parking</p> <p><b>Overlooking and loss of privacy:</b> None additional – existing dormer may overlook neighbours at rear</p> <p><b>Traffic generation:</b> No Change</p> <p><b>Ground contamination:</b> None stated</p> <p><b>Conclusions:</b> Objection – Whilst this represents a significant increase in the size of the property, the main concern is the front extension and the impact on the neighbours at No.3. This does not comply with the Residential Extension and Householder Development SPD reaching as it does right up to the front boundary.</p> <p><b>RECOMMENDATION: - OBJECTION</b></p>
9.	55406/005	<p><b><u>Land Rear of, 191-211 Lovedean Lane, Horndean, Waterlooville</u></b></p> <p>Outline application for 30 dwellings comprising 18 open market and 12 affordable dwellings, landscaping, and associated works (Access only to be considered)</p> <p><b>Ward:</b> Catherington</p> <p><b>Cllr Rep:</b> Cllr Elaine Tickell</p> <p><b>Report:</b></p> <p><b>Observations:</b> - The application is stated to be for access only but full details of the proposed development are given. The two previous applications and the appeals were refused and dismissed respectively.</p> <p>Dealing first with housing numbers, following extensive public consultation, the residents of Horndean agreed that the share of the District's housing allocation</p>



should be built in one area at Blendworth known as Land East of Horndean. Two developers are involved. One developer will be building up to 800 houses. The other developer will be building 82 houses. The combined sites will have the necessary infrastructure onsite. Horndean Parish Council is working with the two developers to ensure that future residents are part of the Horndean community. This is sustainable development supported by the majority of residents following public consultation. By contrast, this application does not follow public consultation, does not have public support, is out of character with the pattern of development in the area, will result in the irreversible loss of land on the urban edge and the design is such that it will dominate the area and detract from the street scene and the character of the area. There is no justification for this development.

The site lies outside the Settlement Policy Boundary on a rising slope from the valley floor. Horndean does not have a Neighbourhood Plan but it does have Village Design Statements for various areas within the Parish which are considered to be worthy of protection. There is a Design Statement for Lovedean which was produced in consultation with and the approval of the residents. Amongst other points that are made is that the statement advocates adherence to the linear development along Lovedean Lane with no backfield development.

An application for a new three bedroom bungalow to be built at the rear of 37 New Road, Lovedean Lane under 26652/001 was refused in 2021. Paragraph 1 of the decision inter alia states that "The proposed dwelling by virtue of its siting and layout constitutes an undesirable form of tandem development inappropriate to and at variance with the prevailing form of development in the vicinity; and the proposal would be contrary to the established development pattern and if permitted would set an undesirable precedent for further such proposals which would significantly and adversely affect the character of the locality. The plot sizes of the resultant and donor dwelling would also be uncharacteristically small contrary to the character of the area." That perfectly describes the adverse effect on this part of Lovedean were the development to be permitted.

Each application is decided on its own merits. This application does not have public support. There has been no contact with Horndean Parish Council- there is a reference in the Design Statement to contact with a non existent body, Lovedean Parish Council.

Despite what is stated in the DAS, there is no bus service to this area. Other services such as shops, schools, doctors surgeries etc are some distance away. It would be necessary to own a car to live at this development.

**Size Layout & density:** - The number of dwellings has been reduced to 30 with a mix of private and social housing of 18 and 12 respectively.

**Street Scene:** - The design is totally out of character with this area with dominant housing with repeating gables reminiscent of the housing blocks on a Monopoly board. They are characterless. They are tall buildings with steep roofs and would dominate the area and the housing to the front as they will lie on a slope. The character of Lovedean village and the street scene would be destroyed. The development does not preserve and enhance the distinctive character of the immediate area nor the wider landscape. There is no detail at this stage as to how light spillage and pollution from this site will be minimised.

**Local Planning Policies:** - CP19- Development in the Countryside, CP20- Landscape, CP27- Pollution, CP29- Design, CP30- Historic Environment, CP31- Transport.

**Overall Highway safety:** - Despite what is stated in the Highways comments on the application, the reality of living in this area is that New Road is a narrow, congested road that is also parked up during the day with vehicles from those

working locally. It could not take the additional traffic. Lovedean Lane is similarly unsuited to an increase in traffic with a blind rise to the north. It suffers from speeding problems.

Referring once again to the 37 New Road application, the Parish Council referred to the pattern of development in the area that "all dwellings in New Road have large gardens therefore all dwellings in New Road could do similar leading to more issues with density and parking/ highway safety". The case officer agreed that this was an issue and stated that one of the reasons for refusal was due to the proposal being out of keeping with the established pattern of development in the area. The proposed development exacerbates the problem 30 times.

**Noise and Disturbance from completed development:** - That from a development of 30 houses plus green spaces with the associated urban hub of daily life.

**Parking:** - This would appear to be appropriate for each dwelling.

Overlooking and loss of privacy: - The design of the housing would dominate the landscape from viewpoints in the immediate area and further afield.

**Traffic generation:** - That from a development of this size in a village area with no immediate access to services and amenities and roads unsuited to an increase in traffic.

**Ground contamination:** - The Parish Council is unable to comment.

**Conclusions:** -

**RECOMMENDATION:- OBJECTION** for the following reasons:-

1. There is no need or justification for this development where Horndean has agreed to the building of a large site that would have onsite infrastructure and that will form part of the Horndean community for up to 800 houses and 82 houses respectively thus reinforcing the role and function of Horndean. This proposed development does not meet a community need or aspiration and does not have public support. There has been no public consultation and no contact with Horndean Parish Council.
2. The Applicant is seeking to impose the development on the local community. The development does not take account of the roles and character of the different areas within Horndean and does not recognise the intrinsic character and beauty of the countryside. Further, it would not make a positive contribution to the overall appearance of the local area ( see also comments on design). Breach of CP30- Historic Environment and also the Village Design Statement that advocates adherence to linear development along Lovedean Lane with no backfield development.
3. CP 20. Landscape. This development does not protect nor enhance the settlement in the wider landscape but dominates the village. The application site is land at the urban edge that should be protected. It would be adversely viewed from the SDNP.
4. CP 29. Design. The development does not appreciate nor is it sympathetic to its setting in terms of its scale, height, massing, identity and relationship to the village to the east and the rural landscape features to the west. The layout and design does not contribute to local distinctiveness or sense of place with the indicative plans showing most of the houses as being very dominant with little variety and with high pitched roofs. The actual design of the houses is lacking in character and not enhanced by the repeating gables.

		<p>5. CP31- Transport. New Road is too narrow and congested a road to take the increase in traffic. Lovedean Lane is a very busy rural road which again is unsuitable for the increase in traffic particularly when viewed in conjunction with existing development in the area.</p> <p>6. There is a lack of infrastructure in Lovedean that would be exacerbated by the development and not mitigated by onsite infrastructure provision nor CIL contributions that will be paid by the Applicant.</p>
10.	60064	<p><b><u>1 South Road, Horndean, Waterlooville, PO8 0EN</u></b></p> <p>Two storey rear/side extension, following the demolition of the existing outbuildings</p> <p>Ward: Downs</p> <p>Cllr Rep: Elaine Tickell</p> <p>Report:</p> <p><b>Observations: -</b></p> <p><b>Size Layout &amp; density: -</b> This is a very large extension that will increase the size of the property by fifty per cent. The property is on a corner plot and can accommodate the proposed extension.</p> <p><b>Street Scene: -</b> The housing in this area is of mixed design and size. The design does not follow the guidance set out in the Residential Extensions and Householder Development Supplementary Policy in that the roofline and the extension both to the side and rear are shown as being a continuation of the existing building resulting in a large and dominating building. Further the side extension is flush with the existing building line. The extension design should be amended so as to be subservient to the existing dwelling with a lower ridge height and be set back.</p> <p><b>Local Planning Policies: -</b> CP27- Pollution, CP29- Design and the Residential Extensions and Householder Development Supplementary Policy Document.</p> <p><b>Overall Highway safety: -</b> There will be an increase in the number of bedrooms. The side access will be closed although site inspection shows what appears to be a gate in the newly installed fence. There is no indication as to how access and parking from South Rd will be dealt with and there is currently only a pedestrian access. This needs to be clarified.</p> <p><b>Noise and Disturbance from completed development: -</b> The property will be a much larger dwelling but the number of bedrooms will remain the same. Difficult to comment.</p> <p><b>Parking: -</b> This needs to be clarified. The DAS states that the vehicular access to the property will be closed but there is no indication as to how this will be achieved from South Rd.</p> <p><b>Overlooking and loss of privacy: -</b> This would not appear to be an issue.</p> <p><b>Traffic generation: -</b> Believed that there would be none.</p> <p>Ground contamination: - HPC is unable to comment.</p> <p><b>Conclusions: -</b></p> <p><b>RECOMMENDATION:- OBJECTION</b> because of the following reasons:-</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The proposed extension design does not follow guidance set out in the Residential Extensions and Householder Development Supplementary</li> </ol>

		<p>Policy Document. The proposals are not subservient to the existing dwelling and follow the existing roofline and are flush with the front main wall.</p> <p>2. The new parking arrangements need to be clarified and shown on the plans- CP29- Design.</p>
11.	51387/001	<p><b><u>21 The Curve, Horndean, Waterlooville, PO8 9SE</u></b></p> <p>Side extension and raising of eaves and ridge height of garage to form 2 bedroom property. Subdivision of the existing garden area, associated hard &amp; soft landscaping and refuse cycle store</p> <p>Ward: Catherington</p> <p>Cllr Rep: Cllr Derek Prosser</p> <p>Report:</p> <p><b>General Observations:</b> 21 the Curve is a replacement dwelling built approximately 10 years ago with a large double garage. This application seeks to extend and develop the double garage into a separate two bedroom house. The garage will be extended approx 3m towards No.21. The roof will increase the ridge height by just over 2m and the driveway of No.21 will become the driveway for the new property. The front wall of No.21 will be demolished to provide off-road parking for No.21 to replace the existing drive. The garden of No.21 will be sub-divided to provide a garden for the new property. In 2015 an amendment to the original plans downsized the garage to "avoid it looking like another house" (the words from the application).</p> <p><b>Size Layout &amp; density:</b> The garage is close to the boundary with No.22. Increasing the height of the ridge and walls will have an impact to the side windows of No.22. The extension is squeezes a front door and porch into a wedge shaped space in the corner of the plot. There are three windows on the first floor rear that will potentially overlook No.22. The other dwellings in The Curve are largely bungalows with drives to the side and so are spaced apart. No.21 is probably the largest building on the street on the largest plot as it on the bend of the road. This will make that site look cramped.</p> <p><b>Street Scene:</b> The new property will use the driveway of No.21. The Demolition of the front wall for new off-road parking for No.21 directly in front of the house is different from other properties on the road which have drives to the side generally. The brown cladding is not used for any other dwellings on the street.</p> <p><b>Local Planning Policies:</b> Housing Policy H3 – would appear to allow this type of sub-division CP29 – Height, massing and density – Given the closeness to the boundary with No.22 and the requirement to raise the height of the walls by 1.5m and the roof by over 2m plus the creation of new parking to No.21 gives the feeling that this is being squeezed where there is insufficient space...and the overall look is out of keeping with the neighbourhood eg the brown cladding. CP-27 – Pollution - If the development were permitted, it would have an unacceptable effect on the amenity of the next door neighbour through loss of privacy and overlooking. Query overshadowing.</p>



		<p><b>Overall Highway safety:</b> Minor – New driveway with drop curb created</p> <p><b>Noise and Disturbance from completed development:</b> Minor</p> <p><b>Parking:</b> There will be sufficient parking with the new scheme provided</p> <p><b>Overlooking and loss of privacy:</b> There are three windows to the rear of the first floor of the new property, these will need to be obscured glass to avoid looking over No.22</p> <p><b>Traffic generation:</b> Minor</p> <p><b>Ground contamination:</b> None stated</p> <p><b>Conclusions;</b> Objection – Given the closeness to the boundary with No.22, the extension reducing the space to No.21 and the requirement to raise the height of the walls by 1.5m and the roof by over 2m plus the creation of a new driveway to No.21 overall gives the feeling that this is being squeezed in where there is insufficient space...and the overall look is out of keeping with the neighbourhood eg the brown cladding.</p> <p><b>Objection</b> – CP27- Pollution. If the development were permitted, it would have an unacceptable affect on the amenity of the next door neighbour through loss of privacy and overlooking. Query overshadowing. CP29-Design. The proposals represent overdevelopment and do not respect the particular characteristics of The Curve. The squeezing in of the new house would have an adverse impact on the street scene. (Elaine's comments)</p> <p><b>RECOMMENDATION: - OBJECTION</b></p>
12.	60069	<p><b><u>16 St Michaels Way, Horndean, Waterlooville, PO8 0HL</u></b></p> <p>Dormer to front roof slope</p> <p>Ward: Downs</p> <p>Cllr Rep: Cllr Teresa Attlee</p> <p>Report:</p> <p><b>General Observations:</b> This is a detached south facing bungalow on a slight slope with four steps up to the front door. There is an existing rear dormer extension, and this proposal aims to match that with a front dormer extension</p> <p><b>Size Layout &amp; density:</b> This is a significant front dormer extension spanning 8m across the entire front of the property. It will mirror the rear dormer extension and project some 3m from the ridge line of the existing roof. Ceiling height will be 2.20m. Contrary to the guidance in the Residential Extensions and Householder Development SSD paragraphs 2.29-2.32 the extension appears to be over scaled and unsympathetic to the character of the host building. It also appears to be in breach of the guidance at CP29 Design paragraphs d) and e).</p> <p>The materials are intended to match the existing walls and windows and the flat roof construction is designed to match the rear dormer (although that has a conservatory style roof). There will be four new windows "to match the existing fenestration" which are smaller in size than the bay window and triple paned</p>

		<p>window on the ground floor at the front. It is not clear how many of the four windows will be frosted/opaque. The case officer may wish to consider this further.</p> <p><b>Street Scene:</b> The new dormer will significantly alter the profile of the existing roof. Other properties in the area have dormers but not on this scale (right across the front).</p> <p><b>Local Planning Policies:</b> CP29 Design paragraphs d) and e) and Residential Extensions and Householder Development SSD paragraphs 2.11- 2.16</p> <p><b>Overall Highway safety:</b> No change</p> <p><b>Noise and Disturbance from completed development:</b> No Change</p> <p><b>Parking:</b> No change</p> <p><b>Overlooking and loss of privacy:</b> The property opposite will be more overlooked especially due to the bungalow already being situated on higher ground (as are all the bungalows on this side of the road. However, it is unlikely that there be excessive overlooking or overshadowing (other properties on this side of the street have dormers)</p> <p><b>Traffic generation:</b> No change</p> <p><b>Ground contamination:</b> None detected</p> <p><b>Conclusions</b> Contrary to the guidance in the Residential Extensions and Householder Development SSD paragraphs 2.29-2.32 the extension appears to be over scaled and unsympathetic to the character of the host building. It also appears to be in breach of the guidance at CP29 Design paragraphs d) and e).</p> <p><b>RECOMMENDATION: - OBJECTION</b></p> <p>The proposed dormer extension appears to be contrary to the guidance in the Residential Extensions and Householder Development SSD paragraphs 2.29-2.32 and in CP29 Design paragraphs d) and e) as it is over scaled and unsympathetic to the character of the host building.</p>
13.	59896/001	<p><b><u>26 Victory Avenue, Horndean, Waterlooville, PO8 9PH</u></b></p> <p>Alterations including 2 no. front dormers, gable build up and single storey rear extension following demolition of garage (re-submission of 59896)</p> <p>Ward: Murray</p> <p>Cllr Rep: Cllr Peter Little</p> <p>Report:</p> <p><b>General Observations:</b> A large conversion in comparison to neighbouring properties to the loft and roof area of a semi detached bungalow.</p> <p><b>Size Layout &amp; density:</b> N/A</p>

		<p><b>Street Scene:</b> This conversion will very much change the street scene. The proposed first floor is over large and dominates the existing dwelling contrary to guidance set out in the SPD" (see Local Planning Policies below).</p> <p><b>Local Planning Policies:</b> CP29, JCS 2014 and HE2 of the East Hampshire Local Plan</p> <p><b>Overall Highway safety:</b> No change</p> <p><b>Noise and Disturbance from completed development:</b> None.</p> <p><b>Parking:</b> Adequate parking provided on site in accordance with the local regulations.</p> <p><b>Overlooking and loss of privacy:</b> None</p> <p><b>Traffic generation:</b> No increase</p> <p><b>Ground contamination:</b> None reported.</p> <p><b>Conclusions:</b></p> <p><b>RECOMMENDATION:</b> - This planning application is for an large extension to the downstairs of the property which is of an <b>acceptable</b> design, the design of the loft / roof area is of an <b>unacceptable</b> design which infringes the local planning policies as above and will alter the street scene by some margin. The proposed first floor is over large and dominates the existing dwelling contrary to guidance set out in the Residential Extensions and Householder Development Supplementary Policy Document</p> <p><b>RECOMMENDATION: OBJECTION</b></p>
14.	59540/003	<p><b><u>7 Quail Way, Horndean, Waterlooville, PO8 9YN</u></b></p> <p>Variation of condition 3 of 59540/002 to allow substitution of plans (to extend the single storey extension out by a further metre)</p> <p>Ward: Murray</p> <p>Cllr Rep: Cllr Derek Prosser</p> <p>Report:</p> <p><b>Proposal:</b> Variation of condition 3 of 59540/002 to allow substitution of plans (to extend the single storey extension out by a further metre)</p> <p><b>General Observations:</b> In plans 59540/002 the single storey extensions was stated as 5m wide extending to 3 metres into the garden. The VOC here is for that to be changed to 5m wide extending 4m into the garden. This is to allow more space for wheelchair accessibility.</p> <p><b>Size Layout &amp; density:</b> The additional metre does not impact significantly on the overall garden space and is not visible to the neighbours.</p> <p><b>Street Scene:</b> No change</p> <p><b>Local Planning Policies:</b> CP29 – Design – No significant change to the impact of original plans</p> <p><b>Overall Highway safety:</b> None</p>

		<p><b>Noise and Disturbance from completed development:</b> None</p> <p><b>Parking:</b> N/A</p> <p><b>Overlooking and loss of privacy:</b> None</p> <p><b>Traffic generation:</b> None</p> <p><b>Ground contamination:</b> None Stated</p> <p><b>Conclusions:</b> No Objection – HPC’s original objection was for the large rear dormer not the single storey extension.</p> <p><b>RECOMMENDATION: - NO OBJECTION</b></p>
15.	60029	<p><b><u>Street Record, Broadway Lane, Lovedean, Waterloo</u></b></p> <p>Prior notification under Class Q for a proposed change of use of an agricultural building to two dwellings (as amended by plans received 9 May 2023).</p> <p>Ward: Catherington</p> <p>Report: N/A - TECHNICAL ASSESSMENT</p> <p>This is a technical assessment based on the information and evidence supplied by the Applicant in support of the application. The decision is one to be made by the case officer.</p>
16	24944/002	<p><b><u>LDCP 15 Wessex Road, Horndean, Waterloo, PO8 0HS</u></b></p> <p>Ward: Downs</p> <p>Cllr Rep:</p> <p>Report: N/A - TECHNICAL ASSESSMENT</p> <p>This is a technical assessment based on the information and evidence supplied by the Applicant in support of the application. The decision is one to be made by the case officer.</p>
17	58721/001	<p><b><u>FUL Land adjacent to Rose Villa, Down Road, Horndean, Waterloo</u></b></p> <p>Ward; Downs</p> <p>Cllr Rep; Cllr Derek Prosser</p> <p>Report;</p> <p><b>General Observations:</b> Pre-application advice has been sought originally for two semi-detached 4 bedroom properties. This has been scaled back to two three bedroom properties due to parking space issues primarily. The houses are town house style with the master suite in the roof space, two bedrooms and a bathroom on the first floor with a kitchen/diner and lounge on the ground floor. Due to the slope of the land the garden is tiered and the 4 parking spaces are on the front left of the site with access from Down Road which is an untarmaced narrow track with no passing places at this point. The site also has an electricity sub-station on the front right of the plot. The site is at the junction of Southdowns Road, South Road and Down Road.</p>



**Size Layout & density:** There is a large amount of paving and tiering proposed in the design. The drainage officer has stipulated that additional work is required to deal with drainage and run-off from the hillside. The car parking will be difficult to turn into and out of as Down road is so narrow. In the Design and Access Statement the 3D views give the impression that the area is "built-up", this is not the case. The existing houses are detached, well spaced out and screened by trees and hedges. The size of this plot would generally hold one house in the neighbourhood.

**Street Scene:** All of the other properties on Down Road and South Road and South Road are large detached dwellings. So smaller semi-detached dwellings give the appearance of overdevelopment, The adjacent properties on the Southdowns Road side are fairly recent but are detached with large driveways and parking to the front and work has been done to the rear to address run off issue from the large slope. They are two storey not three.

**Local Planning Policies:** CP29 – Height, massing and density – Squeezing two properties onto this site will give the appearance of over –development. The Gardens are too small, steep and will be at the level of the first storey. The townhouse design does not fit with the neighbourhood and points to the lack of space on the plot.

EHDC Vehicle Parking Standards/CP31 – The position of the parking in the site makes it impractical to use and hazardous for the occupants and neighbours even for small cars let alone Vans or SUVs.

**Overall Highway safety:** While Down Road is effectively a track, the parking position in the site will undoubtedly lead to street parking for convenience causing a further safety issue

**Noise and Disturbance from completed development:**

Main disturbance would be the parking, else minor

**Parking:**

See above. The turning space on Down Road is insufficient to make the parking usable by 4 vehicles (two per house)

**Overlooking and loss of privacy:** None likely with proper screening and planting

**Traffic generation:** Concern over whether the parking is usable therefore more vehicles in the street

**Ground contamination:** None stated

**Conclusions:** Objection – CP29- Squeezing two properties onto this site will give the appearance of over –development. The Gardens are too small, steep and will be at the level of the first storey. The townhouse design does not fit with the neighbourhood and points to the lack of space on the plot. EHDC Vehicle Parking Standards/CP31 – The position of the parking in the site makes it impractical to use and hazardous for the occupants and neighbours even for small cars let alone Vans or SUVs.

The site is too small and sloping for the proposal. Development is possible on the site with the right design and a drainage solution but for more modest plans.

**RECOMMENDATION: - Objection**

18	55812/002	<p><b><u>TPO Premier Inn, Portsmouth Road, Horndean, Waterlooville, PO8 0DT</u></b></p> <p>Ward; Kings &amp; Blendworth</p> <p>Cllr Rep – Peter Little</p> <p>Report;</p> <p><b>General Observations:</b> Tree is covered by a TPO but the work involved is good management of a large Lime.</p> <p><b>Size Layout &amp; density:</b> N/A</p> <p><b>Street Scene:</b> N/A</p> <p><b>Local Planning Policies:</b> TPO</p> <p><b>Overall Highway safety:</b> N/A</p> <p><b>Noise and Disturbance from completed development:</b> None</p> <p><b>Parking:</b> n/a</p> <p><b>Overlooking and loss of privacy:</b> N/A</p> <p><b>Traffic generation:</b> N/A</p> <p><b>Ground contamination:</b> N/A</p> <p><b>Conclusions:</b> No objection subject only to the Tree Officer's agreement that the work is necessary, comprises routine tree management, will be for the long term benefit of the trees and the work is carried out by properly qualified personnel at the correct time of year.</p> <p><b>RECOMMENDATION:</b> - No Objection</p>
----	-----------	--

# Original Report - Re Application 60029

## Property:

Planning Application Number:	60029
Proposal:	Prior notification under Class Q for a proposed change of use of an agricultural building to three dwellings
Property Address:	Street Record, Broadway Lane, Lovedean, Waterloooville
General Observations:	Permitted Development under Class Q is allowed provided a particular set of conditions are satisfied. These range from the type of development being done, how much the agricultural building is being changed and whether time has passed since it's agricultural use and the termination of any agricultural tenancy. It is not clear whether the "desirability" of this development is also subject to CP6, CP19 and housing policy H14. If these do apply then no reference is made to the creation of the dwellings being for Tourism nor for Agricultural workers. Therefore under those circumstances this development does not comply.
Size Layout & density:	The plot consists of a large metal building on a concrete base approx 350Sqm and a smaller building which will be demolished. The structural report states that the large building is suitable for residential conversion but no assessment of the foundation was done. The development creates three two storey dwellings, two with 4 bedrooms and one with 3. There is landscaping and provision of parking. The dwellings use an existing access on to Broadway lane shared with Ludmore Cottages..
Street Scene:	The area is rural with only a few properties and farm yards. This development is not detrimental to the street scene.
Local Planning Policies:	CP19 Development in the countryside, CP6 – Rural Economy and Enterprise, H14 – Development outside of a settlement boundary - This development does not comply to the stated needs for development CP27 – Light Pollution – A development of this type would bring significant extra night time light to a rural setting Class Q Permitted Development – Although some conditions are satisfied the issue is whether this degree of development is permitted development under Class Q – As the existing barn appears to be a metal structure development would be effectively from scratch for residential purposes and therefore significant. Increase in traffic on a very quiet very narrow country lane
Overall Highway safety:	
Noise and Disturbance from completed development:	Significant to Ludmore Cottages
Parking:	Sufficient parking is planned for the dwellings
Overlooking and loss of privacy:	
Traffic generation:	Impact on Ludmore Cottages which are very close. No evidence of screening or planting Increase is significant for such a narrow rural lane
Ground contamination:	None stated
Conclusions	Objection – This development is too significant to be carried out as permitted development under Class Q, therefore a full application is needed. If CP19, CP6 and H14 apply then there is no compelling reason for the development under those policies.
RECOMMENDATION:	<b>OBJECTION</b>

## DECISION LIST

17.04.2023 – 11.05.2023

<p>Reference No: 29919/003 PARISH: Horndean  Location: Horndean Health Centre, Blendworth Lane, Horndean, Waterlooville, PO8 0AA  Proposal: Variation of condition number 12 of application 29919/002. For the amendment and relocation of bin store and addition of solar panels (amended description and plans received 22.11.2022)  Decision: PERMISSION Decision Date: 17 April, 2023</p>
<p>Reference No: 55622/001 PARISH: Horndean  Location: 46 Blenheim Road, Horndean, Waterlooville, PO8 9TQ  Proposal: T1-Oak-Reduce crown height by 3m, leaving a crown height of 12m. Reduce crown spread by 3m, leaving a crown spread of 9m.  Decision: CONSENT Decision Date: 19 April, 2023</p>
<p>Reference No: 60016 PARISH: Horndean  Location: 29 Claire Gardens, Horndean, Waterlooville, PO8 0JH  Proposal: First floor extension over garage and partial conversion of garage  Decision: PERMISSION Decision Date: 26 April, 2023</p>
<p>Reference No: 29843/032 PARISH: Horndean  Location: White Dirt Farm Mews, White Dirt Lane, Horndean, Waterlooville  Proposal: Change of Use from garage to residential  Decision: PERMISSION Decision Date: 24 April, 2023</p>
<p>Reference No: 56550/002 PARISH: Horndean  Location: Land West of, Acorn Drive, Horndean, Waterlooville  Proposal: T42, T43 and T44 Fell - All Ash trees suffering from Ash die back. There are various degrees of rot present with T43 being the worst  Decision: WITHDRAWN Decision Date: 11 May, 2023</p>